SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 8 August 2017 Accepted: 26 March 2018 Published online: 18 April 2018

OPEN Detecting liver fibrosis with **Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI: A** confirmatory study

Niklas Verloh¹, Kirsten Utpatel², Michael Haimerl¹, Florian Zeman³, Lukas Beyer¹, Claudia Fellner¹, Frank Brennfleck⁴, Marc H Dahlke⁴, Christian Stroszczynski¹, Matthias Evert² & Philipp Wiggermann¹

Strong correlations between the grade of fibrosis and cirrhosis, classified using the Ishak scoring system, and the uptake characteristics of Gd-EOB-DTPA with the relative enhancement (RE) of the liver parenchyma have been reported. To confirm the results of a retrospective analysis, patients undergoing liver surgery were prospectively examined with Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced liver 3 Tesla MRI to determine the degree of liver fibrosis. Correlations between the grade of fibrosis and cirrhosis, classified using the Ishak scoring system, and RE were investigated and compared with those derived from an initial retrospective study. After validating the cut-off values in the retrospective study (Ishak \geq 1, RE-cut-off 0.90; |shak > 2, RE-cut-off 0.79; |shak > 4, RE-cut-off 0.60; and |shak = 6, RE-cut-off 0.47), we showed that Gd-EOB-DTPA has a high sensitivity (>86%) and a high positive predictive value (>86%). These results support the use of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced liver MRI as a non-invasive method for determining the degree of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis.

Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis are leading causes of mortality in the Western hemisphere. The epidemic increase in obesity, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and alcohol-induced liver cirrhosis contribute to this growing problem, as morbidity and mortality are directly correlated with the progression of hepatic fibrosis¹⁻⁵.

Information regarding the grade of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis is essential for determining the prognosis and clinical management of patients with a chronic liver disease or patients who undergo liver surgery^{6,7}.

Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis are currently considered to be dynamic processes that can be corrected with adequate treatment⁸. In clinical practice, obtaining a liver biopsy is the gold standard for monitoring the state of liver fibrosis and observing treatment response.

However, liver biopsies are an invasive procedure known to have poor patient compliance. Biopsies are also prone to both misinterpretation in cases of missing fibrotic septa or nodular configurations and to inter-observer variability^{9,10}. The quality of the grading is directly correlated with the sampling size. Furthermore, liver fibrosis may cause heterogeneity of the liver tissue; therefore, sampling results or faulty sampling in liver biopsy may not be representative of the whole organ¹⁰⁻¹². An image-based technique would be helpful, as it would allow not only a small section of the liver but also the entire organ to be examined.

Upper abdominal ultrasonography is a common tool for analysing liver stiffness with elastography¹³. However, ultrasound examinations are examiner-dependent and limited by a restricted field of view, and they are therefore not usually able to assess the entire liver.

Non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis is an important area of study. Multiple techniques have been proposed, such as elastography. Specifically, area under the curve (AUC) analyses of MR elastography showed a diagnostic accuracy of 90.9–99.4%. The corresponding values for US-based vibration-controlled transient elastography have been reported to be $83.7-91.4\%^{14-16}$. One disadvantage of these examinations is that liver stiffness is only an indirect sign of liver fibrosis; concomitant diseases, such as heart failure, also affect liver stiffness. Thus, elastography techniques are prone to mismeasurements. In addition to those limitations, some of the abovementioned examinations require additional equipment, limiting the applicability and the routine use of these techniques in clinical practice.

¹Department of Radiology, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. ²Department of Pathology, University Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. ³Centre for Clinical Trials, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. ⁴Department of Surgery, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.V. (email: niklas.verloh@ukr.de)